



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

m/n

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/006,554 | 12/06/2001 | Farshid Sabet-Sharghi | SNDK.315US1 | 2639 |
| 66785 | 7590 | 07/17/2007 | | |
| DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP - SANDISK CORPORATION | | | EXAMINER | |
| 505 MONTGOMERY STREET | | | GELAGAY, SHEWAYE | |
| SUITE 800 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111 | | | 2137 | |
| | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | 07/17/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/006,554 | SABET-SHARGHI ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Shewaye Gelagay | Art Unit 2137 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Shewaye Gelagay. (3) _____.

(2) Peter Mikhail. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 June 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 7.

Identification of prior art discussed: Hirota and Tagawa.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative discussed the distinction between the pending claims and prior art on record. Examiner pointed out that the amended claim language has changed the scope of the claim and requires further search and consideration. Applicant will file a response to the Advisory Action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


MATTHEW SMITHERS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
Art Unit 2137

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required